# Fast diagnosis and Emergency management of carbon monoxide poisoning: Overview

Anas Ahmad Al-Ali, Buthainah Ali Alazman, Waleed Abdulrahman H Alqahtani, Wejdan hussain hakami, Riyadh Omar AlQithmi

## Abstract:

Carbon monoxide (CO) has been called a ‘‘great mimicker’’ and responsible for most of the all fatal poisonings worldwide. This review of the literature should serve to highlight aspects of CO poisoning, diagnosis and emergency efficient treatment. Relevant studies were identified by a search of electronic databases, including MEDLINE, EMBASE, for all these articles published from time of instance up to December 2017, in English language and discussing Fast diagnosis and Emergency management of carbon monoxide poisoning, containing human subjects only. Search terms were used to identified articles as following: *“carbon monoxide poisoning” and “emergency department” and “management” and “diagnosis”*. Treatment of Carbon Monoxide poisoning begins with inhalation of supplemental oxygen and aggressive supportive care. HBOT speeds up dissociation of Carbon Monoxide from hemoglobin and could likewise avoid DNS. Absolute indications for HBOT for CO poisoning stay debatable, although most authors would certainly agree that HBOT is suggested in patients that are comatose or neurologically abnormal, have a background of LOC with their exposure, or have cardiac dysfunction. Pregnancy with a raised CO-Hgb level (O 15%- 20%) is also commonly taken into consideration a sign for treatment. HBOT may be taken into consideration in patients that have persistent symptoms despite NBO, metabolic acidosis, irregularities on neuropsychometric testing, or significantly elevated levels. The perfect regimen of oxygen therapy has yet to be identified, and considerable controversy exists regarding HBOT treatment procedures. Often the local clinical toxicologist, poison control center, or hyperbaric unit may assist the managing doctor with decisions regarding therapy.

## Introduction:

The deadly impact of carbon monoxide was known as long ago as Greek and Roman times, when the gas was used for implementations [1]. In 1857 Claude Bernard proposed that its noxious effect was caused by reversible variation of oxygen from haemoglobin to develop carboxyhaemoglobin

[2].In 1926 it became apparent that hypoxia was created not only by lacking oxygen transport yet additionally by poor tissue uptake. Warberg used yeast cultures to reveal that cellular uptake of oxygen was inhibited by direct exposure to a large quantity of carbon monoxide gas [3].

Carbon monoxide gas (CO) is popular as a nonirritating, colorless, tasteless and odorless gas. It combines easily with air and is discovered wherever organic material is burned under conditions of insufficient burning, such as exhaust gas from inner combustion engines [4].Concentrations as high as 30% have been determined in automobile exhaust gas. CO is responsible for a larger number of serious chemical poisonings compared to other solitary agent [4]; as a matter of fact, it is one of the most common reason for poisoning worldwide and it appears to be the leading cause of injury and death as a result of poisoning worldwide. Insufficient venting of furnaces, water heaters and space heaters could create deadly degrees of CO. Smoke has been reported to have 0.1-10% CO, along with several other possibly toxic gases [5].

Carbon monoxide (CO) has been called a ‘‘great mimicker’’ and responsible for most of the all fatal poisonings worldwide. This review of the literature should serve to highlight aspects of CO poisoning, diagnosis and emergency efficient treatment.

## Methodology:

Relevant studies were identified by a search of electronic databases, including MEDLINE, EMBASE, for all these articles published from time of instance up to December 2017, in English language and discussing Fast diagnosis and Emergency management of carbon monoxide poisoning, containing human subjects only. Search terms were used to identified articles as following: *“carbon monoxide poisoning” and “emergency department” and “management” and*

*"diagnosis"*. Search terms were combined using Boolean logic, while studies, publications. furthermore, references list of identified studies was searched for more relevant identical studies.

## Discussion:

### Diagnosis

#### Carboxyhemoglobin levels

Serum CO-Hgb degrees need to be obtained from patients suspected of CO exposure. A nonsmoker would certainly be expected to have a standard level of less than 1% to 3% from endogenous production and background environmental exposure, whereas smokers might have levels as high as 10%, possibly a little greater instantly after smoking [6], [7]. Low CO-Hgb levels (! 15%- 20%) are well correlated with mild symptoms, such as queasiness and headache [8], and degrees more than 60% to 70% are normally swiftly fatal [9].However, intermediate degrees do not appear to correlate well with symptoms or with prognosis; therefore, treatment decisions can not be based solely on CO-Hgb degrees [10].In one collection, CO-Hgb degrees varied from 5% to 47% in minimally symptomatic or asymptomatic patients, 10% to 64% in patients that were located unconscious but awoke on hospital arrival, and 1% to 53% in patients who stayed comatose [11].The wide overlaps among blood levels and professional symptoms highlight the problem of using degrees alone to establish intensity of direct exposure. The extent of professional symptoms is connected not only to the focus of CO however also to the period of direct exposure [10].Therefore, a patient that obtains a high CO-Hgb level after a short, high-level direct exposure could not show up any kind of medical poisoning [12], whereas a patient that achieves the exact same CO-Hgb level after a prolonged lower-level exposure could be significantly symptomatic. It

is carbon monoxide gas poisoning 1169 likewise important to keep in mind that, due to the fact that CO-Hgb degrees decline with time and with oxygen therapy, a first CO-Hgb level may not properly show the size of a patient's exposure if it is drawn at a time that is remote from the exposure or after oxygen therapy has been set up. Prehospital suppliers can be valuable by reporting CO air levels at the scene of exposure or by providing blood attracted soon after exposure. In some circumstances, exhaled CO levels gauged by utilizing a Breathalyzer-type device could help to confirm the diagnosis, whether in the prehospital or medical facility setting [13].

CO-Hgb levels should be gauged with a co-oximeter, which measures complete hemoglobin concentration, oxyhemoglobin, deoxyhemoglobin, and concentrations of irregular hemoglobins, such as CO-Hgb and methemoglobin, by differentiating wavelength absorbance values [12].Regular blood gas analyzers without co-oximeters determine instead of action oxyhemoglobin saturation and do not identify the contribution of unusual hemoglobins. Arterial sampling is not required, since potential comparison of arterial and venous CO-Hgb degrees in poisoned patients has revealed a high degree of connection [14].In an animal design, the precision was preserved at CO-Hgb degrees surpassing 60% [15].

## Pulse oximetry

Pulse oximetry could be incorrectly elevated in the setting of significant CO poisoning, because CO-Hgb is difficult to distinguish from oxyhemoglobin by wavelength. The pulse oximetry space, specified as the difference between the pulse oximetry determined by finger probe and the true pulse oximetry acquired spectrophotometrically with a co-oximeter, has been located to approximate the CO-Hgb level. For that reason, as the CO-Hgb degree increases, the degree of pulse oximetry overestimation increases [16].

## Other diagnostic testing

Various other analysis testing in the CO-poisoned patient hinges on the professional situation and may include complete blood count, arterial blood gas tracking, electrolytes, cardiac markers, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, creatine phosphokinase, chest radiography, ECG, neuropsychometric testing, and neuroimaging studies. The presence of metabolic acidosis, most likely from a combination of hypoxia, inhibition of cellular respiration, and enhanced metabolic need, has been discovered to correlate with exposure duration, seriousness of professional symptoms, and negative sequelae after Carbon Monoxide poisoning [18].Lactate has been utilized as a pen for severe poisoning. Breast radiography could reveal evidence of noncardiogenic pulmonary edema in the badly poisoned patient. ECG may demonstrate nonspecific changes, dysrhythmias, or modifications connected with myocardial ischemia. Cardiac pens and creatine phosphokinase might rise [19].In the setup of smoke breathing, concomitant cyanide poisoning could accompany CO poisoning [17].In the setting of chronic Carbon Monoxide poisoning, polycythemia might be viewed as an action to chronic hypoxia. Fetal surveillance may be valuable to spot fetal concession in the COpoisoned expectant patient [20].Most lately, the function of biochemical markers of brain damage (neuron-specific enolase, S-100 beta) after CO poisoning has been examined [21].In one series of 38 CO-poisoned patients, S-100 beta levels correlated well with extent of health problem [22].

## Neuropsychometric testing

A battery of neuropsychometric examinations has been developed specifically to screen for cognitive disorder as a result of Carbon Monoxide poisoning [23].The Carbon Monoxide Neuropsychological Screening Battery (CONSB) includes 6 subtests analyzing general orientation, digit period, route production, digit icon, aphasia, and block layout. CO-poisoned patients without

concomitant alcohol and drug consumption were discovered to rack up even worse than controls prior to hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) and to have improved scores after HBOT, particularly on the trail making examination [23].Volunteers exposed to CO were found to carry out more improperly on the CONSB compared to controls without Carbon Monoxide direct exposure [24].

The term neuropsychometric testing in the literature could refer to the CONSB or examinations such as the Mini-Mental Status Exam, Weschler Adult Intelligence ScaledRevised, Weschler Memory ScaledRevised, and others. The utility of neuropsychometric screening in Carbon Monoxide poisoning in the ED setting has yet to be identified, and substantial dispute exists concerning its value. Although CO-poisoned patients have been revealed to perform more inadequately on neuropsychometric tests, abnormalities may not be discussed exclusively by CO exposure. Patients attempting self-destruction by ways apart from CO execute as improperly on neuropsychometric examinations as patients that try self-destruction with Carbon Monoxide [25].Enhancement in neuropsychometric testing after HBOT in CO-poisoned patients is commonly cited as evidence for the efficiency of HBOT. Nonetheless, other factors can lead to neuropsychometric examination enhancement, such as inspiration, technique effect as a result of repetition of the test, renovation of overall psychological status, and metabolism of coingestants or cointoxicants. In addition, it is unknown whether neuropsychometric examination problems alone are related to unhealthy results for patients with Carbon Monoxide direct exposure. Regardless of these constraints, neuropsychometric screening gives an objective means of examining cognitive function. Some use these tests to help in therapy choice making and to comply with patients throughout recuperation, although this technique is not uniform [26]

CT of the brain in patients that have severe CO direct exposure could reveal signs of cerebral infarction because of hypoxia, anemia, and hypotension induced by extreme CO direct exposure.

Nonetheless, an interesting and well-reported result is bilateral globus pallidus low-density sores. The development of this sore has been associated with regional low blood flow to the globus pallidus, metabolic acidosis, and hypotension. throughout CO poisoning in animal designs. Globus pallidus sores could be delayed for as long as numerous days after preliminary presentation [27] and may fix with time [28].Concomitant white matter sores might additionally be seen. Although globus pallidus lesions are not pathognomonic for CO poisoning and may be seen in other intoxications, such as methanol or hydrogen sulfide poisoning, their presence must signal the clinician to the possibility of Carbon Monoxide direct exposure. MRI in patients that have Carbon Monoxide direct exposure may reveal diffuse, symmetrical white issue lesions, predominantly in the periventricular areas, although the centrum semiovale, deep subcortical white matter, thalamus, basal ganglia, and hippocampus may additionally be influenced [29].

Patients that have abnormal neuroimaging findings after Carbon Monoxide direct exposure are more probable to have poorer end results, such as death or consistent functional neurologic disability, compared to are those with typical neuroimaging studies.However, exceptions exist, and the outcomes of neuroimaging researches do not always precisely anticipate outcome.

Single photon exhaust calculated tomography (SPECT) scanning, electroencephalography, and quantitative MRI have been examined as adjunctive diagnostic tests in CO-exposed patients but are not widely available [29] SPECT scanning specifically could associate better than various other neuroimaging searchings for with the growth of postponed neurologic sequelae.

- **Treatment**

Treatment of the CO-poisoned patient begins with additional oxygen and aggressive helpful care, including airway management, blood pressure assistance, and stablizing of cardiovascular status.

When occult CO poisoning is discovered, other patients might remain at the scene and needs to be cautioned and evacuated till the source is determined and the atmosphere is safe.

High-flow oxygen therapy need to be administered immediately to treat hypoxia due to Carbon Monoxide poisoning and to increase removal of CO from the body. Whether this oxygen must be provided under boosted pressure with HBOT or under ambient stress (ie, normobaric oxygen [NBO] is a subject of much debate. HBOT is neither generally readily available nor totally run the risk of free. Nevertheless, HBOT might have a function in avoiding adverse neurologic sequelae in the setting of Carbon Monoxide poisoning and is indicated for selected patients. HBOT contains the shipment of 100% oxygen within a pressurized chamber, causing a manifold increase in the dissolved oxygen in the body (PaO2 up to 2000 mm Hg). One hundred percent oxygen at ambient pressure provides 2.09 vol%done third of the body's need whereas 2.5 ambiences absolute (ATA) provides 5.62 vol%. Remarkably, HBOT at 3.0 ATA was located in a porcine study to offer enough liquified oxygen to supply the body's requirements in the near-absence of hemoglobin [30].Increasing the partial pressure of oxygen reduces the half-life of CO-Hgb. The reported half-life of CO-Hgb is 240 to 320 minutes at area air (21% oxygen), 40 to 80 minutes at 100% oxygen, and around 20 mins at 100% HBOT at 2.5 to 3.0 ATA [31].Wide private variation exists, nonetheless, and extended exposures may lead to prolonged half-life [31].

The mainstay of treatment is 100% oxygen administration till the carboxyhaemoglobin level is regular. On this program the half-life of carboxyhaemoglobin is 74 mins (compared with 320 mins taking a breath air) [32].Lactic acidosis assists in tissue oxygen diffusion and must not be corrected unless severe (pH<7.15). When the patient is secure enough to be transferred, hyperbaric oxygen needs to be taken into consideration. This therapy is risk-free and well endured, the primary difficulty being ear barotrauma [33].The choice about hyperbaric oxygen will certainly frequently

depend upon simplicity of access to a hyperbaric center. In Britain the typical time from direct exposure to hyperbaric oxygen therapy is 9 hrs. The time-frame within which hyperbaric oxygen is most effective is unknowned. In one huge retrospective study it was ineffective if started after 6 hrs [34].

In 1895, Haldane showed that a mouse could be kept alive by exposure to hyperbaric oxygen at the same time as carbon monoxide gas. This seminal experiment confirmed that enough oxygen for survival could be transferred in solution when transport by haemoglobin was severely damaged [35].Haldane set the scene for the subsequent use hyperbaric oxygen treatment of human patients.

Hyperbaric oxygen has numerous advantages. The half-life of carboxyhaemoglobin at 3 ATA (absolute atmospheres) of oxygen is only 23 mins [36].Various other advantages are enhanced mitochondrial function, impairment of platelet adhesion in the capillaries and inhibition of lipid peroxidation. But as opposed to assumption, scientific trials of hyperbaric oxygen have offered contradictory outcomes. A current Cochrane testimonial of three significant randomized controlled trials ended that there is as yet no evidence of neurological benefit at one month [37].Recurring trials will quickly provide additional details [37].In the lack of solid evidence most centres proceed utilizing hyperbaric oxygen if the carboxyhaemoglobin is above 25-30%. Myocardial ischaemia and neurological indicators, especially coma, are treated with hyperbaric oxygen irrespective of the focus. There is basic contract that extended hyperbaric oxygen is the therapy of option in pregnancy. This is since fetal carboxyhaemoglobin is greater and clearance slower than in the mother.

Carbon monoxide gas poisoning is one-of-a-kind because neuropsychiatric signs can show up insidiously weeks after the patient shows up to have recuperated. These signs, which are most typical in the elderly, take place within a month in 10-30%. A few of the frank neurological signs

such as parkinsonism are conveniently detected. Personality, cognitive and memory adjustments are not readily apparent and can be missed unless especially targeted. Kids could provide with behaviour or education problems.Most neuropsychiatric indicators solve within a year. In one study, evaluation at 3 years exposed relentless check in 11% [38].There is no methods of forecasting healing. However, patients with irreversible signs are most likely to have provided in coma.

## Conclusion:

CO is a common poison with several sources of exposure. CO poisoning generates diverse signs and symptoms that are often refined and may be quickly misdiagnosed. Failure to detect Carbon Monoxide poisoning may cause significant morbidity and death and permit continuous exposure to a hazardous environment.

Treatment of Carbon Monoxide poisoning begins with inhalation of supplemental oxygen and aggressive supportive care. HBOT speeds up dissociation of Carbon Monoxide from hemoglobin and could likewise avoid DNS. Absolute indications for HBOT for CO poisoning stay debatable, although most authors would certainly agree that HBOT is suggested in patients that are comatose or neurologically abnormal, have a background of LOC with their exposure, or have cardiac dysfunction. Pregnancy with a raised CO-Hgb level (O 15%- 20%) is also commonly taken into consideration a sign for treatment. HBOT may be taken into consideration in patients that have persistent symptoms despite NBO, metabolic acidosis, irregularities on neuropsychometric testing, or significantly elevated levels. The perfect regimen of oxygen therapy has yet to be identified, and considerable controversy exists regarding HBOT treatment procedures. Often the local clinical toxicologist, poison control center, or hyperbaric unit may assist the managing doctor with decisions regarding therapy. Timely diagnosis and efficient treatment could enhance outcomes for

patients with CO poisoning and its difficulties. Emergency care providers need to be educated about the symptoms and signs of CO poisoning and its dread complications to help with suitable therapy.

## Reference:

1. Ganong WF. Review of Medical Physiology. Norwalk Ct: Appleton & Lange, 1995
2. Bernard C. Le Cons Sur les Effets des Substances Toxiques et Médicamenteuses. Paris: Bailliere, 1857
3. Warburg O. Über die Wirkung des Kohlenoxyds auf den Stoffwechsel der Hefe. Biochem Z 1926;177: 471
4. Raub JA, Mathieu-Nolf M, Hampson NB, et al. Carbon monoxide poisoning – a public health perspective. Toxicology 2000; 145: 1– 14.
5. Dolan MC. Carbon monoxide poisoning. Can Med Assoc J 1985; 133: 392–399.
6. Ernst A, Zibrak JD. Carbon monoxide poisoning. N Engl J Med 1998;339(22):1603–8.
7. Stewart RD, Baretta ED, Platte LR, et al. Carboxyhemoglobin levels in American blood donors. JAMA 1974;229(9):1187–95.
8. Heckerling PS, Leikin JB, Maturen A, et al. Predictors of occult carbon monoxide poisoning in patients with headache and dizziness. Ann Intern Med 1987;107(2): 174–6.
9. Olson KR. Carbon monoxide poisoning: mechanisms, presentation, and controversies in management. J Emerg Med 1984;1(3):233–43.
10. Piantadosi CA. Diagnosis and treatment of carbon monoxide poisoning. Respir Care Clin N Am 1999;5(2):183–202.
11. Norkool DM, Kirkpatrick JN. Treatment of acute carbon monoxide poisoning with hyperbaric oxygen: a review of 115 cases. Ann Emerg Med 1985;14(12):1168–71.
12. Davis SM, Levy RC. High carboxyhemoglobin level without acute or chronic findings. J Emerg Med 1984;1(6):539–42.
13. Kurt TL, Anderson RJ, Reed WG. Rapid estimation of carboxyhemoglobin by breath sampling in an emergency setting. Vet Hum Toxicol 1990;32(3):227–9.
14. Touger M, Gallagher EJ, Tyrell J. Relationship between venous and arterial carboxyhemoglobin levels in patients with suspected carbon monoxide poisoning. Ann Emerg Med 1995;25(4):481–3.
15. Lopez DM, Weingarten-Arams JS, Singer LP, et al. Relationship between arterial, mixed venous, and internal jugular carboxyhemoglobin concentrations at low, medium, and high concentrations in a piglet model of carbon monoxide toxicity. Crit Care Med 2000;28(6): 1998–2001.
16. Bozeman WP, Myers RA, Barish RA. Confirmation of the pulse oximetry gap in carbon monoxide poisoning. Ann Emerg Med 1997;30(5):608–11.
17. Thom SR. Smoke inhalation. Emerg Med Clin North Am 1989;7(2):371–87.
18. Goulon M, Barois A, Rapin M, et al. Carbon monoxide poisoning and acute anoxia due to breathing coal tar gas and hydrocarbons. Journal of Hyperbaric Medicine 1986;1(1):23–41.

19. Holstege CP, Baer AB, Eldridge DL, et al. Case series of elevated troponin I following carbon monoxide poisoning. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 2004;42(5):742–3.
20. Van Hoesen KB, Camporesi EM, Moon RE, et al. Should hyperbaric oxygen be used to treat the pregnant patient for acute carbon monoxide poisoning? A case report and literature review. JAMA 1989;261(7):1039–43.
21. Rasmussen LS, Poulsen MG, Christiansen M, et al. Biochemical markers for brain damage after carbon monoxide poisoning. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2004;48(4): 469–73.
22. Brvar M, Mozina H, Osredkar J, et al. S100B protein in carbon monoxide poisoning: a pilot study. Resuscitation 2004;61(3):357–60.
23. Messier LD, Myers RA. A neuropsychological screening battery for emergency assessment of carbon-monoxide-poisoned patients. J Clin Psychol 1991;47(5):675–84.
24. Amitai Y, Zlotogorski Z, Golan-Katzav V, et al. Neuropsychological impairment from acute low-level exposure to carbon monoxide. Arch Neurol 1998;55(6):845–8.
25. Hay PJ, Denson LA, van Hoof M, et al. The neuropsychiatry of carbon monoxide poisoning in attempted suicide: a prospective controlled study. J Psychosom Res 2002; 53(2):699–708.
26. Hart IK, Kennedy PG, Adams JH, et al. Neurological manifestation of carbon monoxide poisoning. Postgrad Med J 1988;64(749):213–6.
27. Vieregge P, Klostermann W, Blumm RG, et al. Carbon monoxide poisoning: clinical, neurophysiological, and brain imaging observations in acute disease and follow-up. J Neurol 1989;236(8):478–81.
28. Zagami AS, Lethlean AK, Mellick R. Delayed neurological deterioration following carbon monoxide poisoning: MRI findings. J Neurol 1993;240(2):113–6.
29. Gale SD, Hopkins RO, Weaver LK, et al. MRI, quantitative MRI, SPECT, and neuropsychological findings following carbon monoxide poisoning. Brain Inj 1999;13(4): 229–43.
30. Boerema I, Meyne NG, Brummelkamp WH, et al. Life without blood. Arch Chir Neerl 1959;11:70.
31. Pace N, Stajman E, Walker EL. Acceleration of carbon monoxide elimination in man by high pressure oxygen. Science 1950;111:652–4.
32. Weaver LK, Howe S, Hopkins R, Chan KJ. Carboxy hemoglobin half-life in carbon monoxide-poisoned patients treated with 100% oxygen at atmospheric pressure. Chest 2000;117: 801-8 .
33. Liebelt EL. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy in childhood carbon monoxide poisoning. Curr Opin Pediatr1999;11: 259-64 .
34. Goulon M, Barios A, Rapin M. Carbon monoxide poisoning and acute anoxia due to breathing coal gas and hydrocarbons. Ann Med Interne (Paris) 1969;120: 335-49 .
35. Haldane JS. The relation of carbonic oxide to oxygen tension. J Physiol (Lond) 1895;18: 201-7 .
36. Myers RA, Snyder SK, Emhoff TA. Subacute sequelae of carbon monoxide poisoning. Ann Emerg Med 14: 1163-7.
37. Juurlink DN, Stanbrook MB, McGuigan MA. Hyperbaric oxygen for carbon monoxide poisoning. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000;2: CD00204.

38. Smith JS, Brandon S. Morbidity from acute carbon monoxide poisoning at three-year follow-up. BMJ1973;1: 318-21.